



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

that the deed when originally executed was as claimed by them; the record thereof purporting to convey a fee simple to the mother.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see *Alteration of Instruments*, Cent. Dig. §§ 259-263; Dec. Dig. § 29.* 1 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 314; 14 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 41; 15 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 39.]

Appeal from Circuit Court, Russell County.

Suit by Charles Ramsey and others against Caroline Dickenson and others. From a decree for complainants, defendants appeal. Reversed.

Routh & Routh, of Lebanon, *Vicars & Peery*, of Wise, and *R. S. Graham*, of Norton, for appellants.

W. W. Bird, of Lebanon, for appellees.

MAY et al. v. SHERRARD'S LEGATÉES et al.

Nov. 20, 1913.

[79 S. E. 1026.]

1. **Wills (§§ 194, 751*)—Revocation—Bequests and Devisees Subject to Ademption.**—A testatrix gave a dwelling house and lot to be sold and equally divided between two grandnephews. In another clause she provided that, in the event of the death of one of such grandnephews, she willed one-half of such house when sold to a grandniece. A codicil stated that she had sold such house, and invested the proceeds in two other houses and lots. Held, that the gift to the grandnephews, whether treated as a gift of the house and lot itself, or as a gift of the proceeds of a sale thereof, was a specific legacy or device, and in either case was revoked by the sale of the house and lot by the testatrix in her lifetime.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see *Wills*, Cent. Dig. §§ 481-489, 1938; Dec. Dig. §§ 194, 751.* 13 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 758.]

2. **Wills (§ 750*)—Legacies—Specific Legacies.**—As a general rule, a legacy will not be construed as specific unless it appears clearly to have been so intended, and whether or not it is specific depends wholly upon the language of the will.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see *Wills*, Cent. Dig. §§ 1936, 1937; Dec. Dig. § 750.* 13 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 851.]

Appeal from Corporation Court of Staunton.

Suit by Maria L. Sherrard's executor against Maria L. Sherrard's legatees and others. From the judgment, J. H. May, guardian ad litem of certain defendants, and others appeal. Affirmed.

Quarles & Pilson, of Staunton, for appellants.

Timberlake & Nelson, *Robertson & Robertson*, and *W. H. Landes*, all of Staunton, for appellees.

*For other cases see same topic and section NUMBER in Dec. Dig. & Am. Dig. Key No. Series & Rep'r Indexes.